

<b>DANNY RAY SCARBOROUGH,</b>	)	
	)	
<b>Plaintiff,</b>	)	
	)	
<b>v.</b>	)	<b>NO. 3:23-cv-00529</b>
	)	
<b>UNITED STATES NAVY, et al.,</b>	)	<b>JUDGE CAMPBELL</b>
	)	<b>MAGISTRATE JUDGE FRENSLEY</b>
<b>Defendants.</b>	)	


Pending before the Court is the Magistrate Judge’s Report and Recommendation (Doc. No. 36), which was filed on July 19, 2024. Through the Report, the Magistrate Judge recommends that the pending motion to dismiss (Doc. No. 16) be granted based on *res judicata* and that Plaintiff’s Amended Complaint (Doc. No. 11) be dismissed with prejudice. The Report is ripe for consideration. (*See* Doc. Nos. 37, 38, 39). For the reasons discussed below, Plaintiff’s objection is overruled, and the Report and Recommendation is adopted and approved.

Case 3:23-cv-00529 Document 42 Filed 11/18/24 Page 1 of 2 PageID #: 271

Here, Plaintiff's objection fails to identify any specific factual or legal errors on the part of Judge Frensley in making his determination. Objections which do not identify an error are meritless. *See Howard v. Sec. of Health & Human Servs.*, 932 F.2d 505, 509 (6th Cir. 1991). Accordingly, Plaintiff's objection fails to state viable grounds to challenge Judge Frensley's conclusions or otherwise provide a basis to reject or modify the Report and Recommendation.

Having reviewed the Report and Recommendation and considered Plaintiff's objection, the Court concludes that the Report and Recommendation (Doc. No. 36) should be adopted and approved. Accordingly, the motion to dismiss (Doc. No. 16) is **GRANTED** and the Amended Complaint (Doc. No. 11) is **DISMISSED** with prejudice.

It is so **ORDERED**.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
WILLIAM L. CAMPBELL, JR.  
CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE